# WATER UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUNDS OF THE Santa Clara Valley Water District

San Jose, California

Annual Financial Report For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

# WATER UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUNDS OF THE SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT Annual Financial Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### **Table of Contents**

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	13
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in	
Net Position	14
Statement of Cash Flows	15
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	16
Required Supplemental Information	
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios	63
Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions	64
Schedule of Changes in Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios	
Schedule of Employer Other Post Employment Benefit Contributions	
Other Information	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over	
Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters	
Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed In	
Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	69
Schedule of Revenues and Expenses – Budgetary Basis	71

This page intentionally left blank





#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Board of Directors Santa Clara Valley Water District San Jose, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Water Enterprise Fund and the State Water Projects Fund (Funds) of the Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Funds basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Funds, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As described in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Funds and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District, as of June 30, 2018, and the changes in its financial position, for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Other Matters

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, schedule of changes in net pension liability and related ratios, schedule of employer pension contributions and schedule of changes in net OPEB liability and related ratios, schedule of employer OPEB contributions, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Revenues and Expenses by Zone, as listed in the table of contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Schedule of Revenues and Expenses by Zone is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Revenues and Expenses by Zone is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2018, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Varinet, Trine, Day ECo. LLP

Palo Alto, California June 30, 2019

#### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

Our discussion and analysis of the financial performance of the Santa Clara Valley Water District's Water Utility Enterprise Funds (the "Funds") provide an overview of the Funds financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. This information is presented in conjunction with the audited financial statements that follow this section.

The Funds account for the management and supply of wholesale treated water, groundwater, recycled water, and surface water for the residents of Santa Clara County. The Funds are separate enterprise funds of the Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) that were established to account for the water utility transactions of the District. The Funds are comprised of two funds – Water Enterprise Fund and State Water Project Fund. The Water Enterprise Fund is used to record ongoing water utility operations, with revenues comprised primarily of charges to the District's groundwater and treated water customers. The State Water Project Fund is used to account for state water project tax revenue and state water project contractual costs.

Because service needs are different in the northern and southern portions of the county, operations and expenditures are tracked separately based on the relative benefits to the North County and South County zones. Likewise, the District's water charges between the two zones are set independently.

The District engaged Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP to conduct the audit of the District's Funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. The purpose of the audit was to analyze the reasonableness of the allocations of cost and revenue between the two groundwater charge zones within the Funds, the North County zone, and the South County zone.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

The accounting policies of the Funds of the Santa Clara Valley Water District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

The financial statements of the Funds, as presented here, are for the District's Water Enterprise Funds activities only and do not reflect the financial position of the Santa Clara Valley Water District as a whole. The Funds are accounted for as proprietary-type funds, where the cost of providing goods and services to the general public are financed and recovered primarily through user charges.

The following items comprise the statements of the Funds:

 The Statement of Net Position presents information on the Funds' assets, deferred outflow of resources, deferred inflow of resources and liabilities, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Funds is improving or deteriorating.

- The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position provides information about the Funds' revenues and expenses on an accrual basis.
- The Statement of Cash Flows provides relevant information on the Funds' cash receipts and cash payments during the period. This statement presents changes in the Funds' cash and cash equivalents resulting from operating, noncapital financing, capital and related financing, and investing activities.
- The Notes to Basic Financial Statements provide additional information that is essential to a better understanding of the data provided in the Funds' financial statements.

The Funds record the financial transactions in a manner similar to a private business enterprise. Operations are recorded at full accrual and accounted for to show net income or loss. The Funds are intended to be entirely or predominantly self-supported by user charges.

#### **Financial Highlights**

### Water Utility Enterprise Funds Net Position (Dollars in Thousands)

(	2018	2017
Current and other assets	\$ 244,388	\$ 200,240
Capital assets	1,133,623	1,061,689
Other non current assets	373_	24,722
Total assets	1,378,384	1,286,651
Deferred outflow of resources		
Deferred amount on refunding	454	498
Pension activities	26,160	20,404
OPEB activities	5,465	
Total deferred outflow of resources	32,079	20,902
Current liabilities	125,881	71,652
Long-term liabilities outstanding	557,692	521,676
Total liabilities	683,573	593,328
Deferred inflow of resources		
Pension activities	3,320	3,575
OPEB activities	1,019	
Total deferred inflow of resources	4,339	3,575
Net position:		
Net investment in capital assets	626,514	623,828
Restricted	58,679	52,118
Unrestricted	37,358	34,704
Total net position	\$ 722,551	\$ 710,650

The total net position of the Funds amounted to \$722.6 million at June 30, 2018. The largest portion of the Funds' net position (86.7% or \$626.5 million) reflects investment in capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, infrastructure, machinery, equipment, and contract water rights) less any related debt outstanding used to acquire the capital assets. These capital assets are used to provide services to citizens and consumers. Consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the Funds' investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debts, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

Investment in capital assets, net of related debt, increased by \$2.7 million or 0.4% from the previous fiscal year. Capital assets, net of depreciation and amortization, increased by \$71.9 million. Long term liabilities, which include related debt outstanding, went up by \$36.0 million.

Current fiscal year major additions to capital assets for business type activities include the following (in millions):

- \$31.7 Rinconada Water Treatment Plant Reliability Improvement
- \$21.6 10-year Pipeline and Rehabilitation
- \$9.1 Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit
- \$4.4 Calero Dam Seismic Retrofit Design and Construction
- \$3.9 Pacheco Conduit Rehabilitation
- \$3.3 Indirect Potable Reuse
- \$3.2 Penitencia Force Main Seismic Retrofit
- \$3.0 Guadalupe Dam Seismic Retrofit Design and Construction
- \$2.0 Rinconada Water Treatment Plant Facility Renewal Program Residual Management Modifications
- \$1.3 Dam Safety Seismic Stability
- \$1.2 Wolfe Road Recycled Water Facility

Net position categorized as "unrestricted" may be used to meet ongoing obligations to citizens, customers, and creditors. The Funds' unrestricted net position of \$37.4 million represents an increase of \$2.7 million or 7.6% when compared to the prior fiscal year.

Water Utility Enterprise Funds Change in Net Position (Dollars in Thousands)

	2018	2017
Revenues:		
Ground water charges	\$ 97,483	\$ 67,937
Treated water charges	132,477	122,212
Surface and recycled water charges	1,041	747
Operating grants	4,396	2,037
Capital grants and contributions	4,350	17,527
Property taxes	37,417	44,786
Investment income	1,267	979
Miscellaneous	6,428	2,527
Total revenues	284,859	258,752
Expenses:		
Operating expenses	216,876	185,941
Nonoperating and other expenses	16,050	17,575
Total expenses	232,926	203,516
Change in net position before transfers	51,933	55,236
Transfers	(8,225)	1,902
Change in net position	43,708	57,138
Net position, beginning	710,650	653,512
Prior period adjustment, beg. OPEB liability	(31,807)	-
Net position, ending	\$ 722,551	\$ 710,650

Net position of the Funds of \$722.6 million increased by \$11.9 million compared to the prior fiscal year. Total revenues and expenses amounted to \$284.9 million and \$232.9 million, respectively. Net transfers out lowered the ending net position by \$8.2 million.

Compared to the prior fiscal year, total revenues increased \$26.1 million and expenses increased \$29.4 million. Key elements of the changes in revenues and expenses from prior year are as follows:

- Water charges for services were \$40.1 million or 21% higher than last fiscal year, reflecting the increase in rates and volume. Groundwater revenue increased \$29.5 million or 43.5% and treated water revenue increased \$10.3 million or 8.4%.
- Capital grants and contributions decreased \$13.2 million due to lower capital cost reimbursements received.
- Property taxes were \$7.4 million or 16.5% lower than last fiscal year, reflecting lower State tax requirements needed to fund State Water project contract obligations.
- Water enterprise expenses increased by \$29.4 million or 14.5% from the prior year.
   \$17.7 million of the increase was related to higher water supply and water treatment costs. The balance of the increase was due to increased expenditures for technical and consultant services and higher salary and benefits paid to employees.

Water Utility Enterprise Funds Schedule of Revenues and Expenses
(Budgetary Basis)
(Dollars in Thousands)

	North C	ounty <sup>(1)</sup>	South County		Total		
	2018	2017	2018	2017 <sup>(2)</sup>	2018	2017(2)	
Operating revenues:							
Ground water charges	\$ 84,747	\$ 56,579	\$ 12,736	\$ 11,358	\$ 97,483	\$ 67,937	
Treated water charges	132,477	122,212	-	-	132,477	122,212	
Surfaced and recycled							
water charges	401	275	640	472	1,041	747	
Total water charges	217,625	179,066	13,376	11,830	231,001	190,896	
Operating grants	4,325	1,896	71	141	4,396	2,037	
Other	4,217	172			4,217	172	
Total operating revenues	226,167	181,134	13,447	11,971	239,614	193,105	
Operating expenses:							
Source of supply	86,215	85,707	9,562	9,198	95,777	94,905	
Water treatment	36,719	33,591	257	62	36,976	33,653	
Transmission and distribution:							
Raw water	10,735	10,799	3,736	3,137	14,471	13,936	
Treated water	1,466	1,496	-	-	1,466	1,496	
Cost of goods sold	135,135	131,593	13,555	12,397	148,690	143,990	
Administration and general	21,537	16,507	3,841	3,617	25,378	20,124	
Capital cost recovery	(4,387)	(3,801)	4,387	3,801			
Total operating expenses	152,285	144,299	21,783	19,815	174,068	164,114	
Operating income (loss)	73,882	36,835	(8,336)	(7,844)	65,546	28,991	
Non-operating income							
(expenses):							
Property taxes	34,085	41,074	3,332	3,712	37,417	44,786	
Investment income	1,267	979	-	-	1,267	979	
Rental income	81	79	34	33	115	112	
Other	1,882	2,048	214	195	2,096	2,243	
Interest/fiscal agent fees	(16,050)	(17,575)	-	-	(16,050)	(17,575)	
Open space credit transfer	(8,075)	(7,372)	8,075	7,372	-	-	
Interest earned credit	(121)	(94)	121	94			
Net non-operating income	13,069	19,139	11,776	11,406	24,845	30,545	
Net income (loss)	\$ 86,951	\$ 55,974	\$ 3,440	\$ 3,562	\$ 90,391	\$ 59,536	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> The 2018 North County amounts are presented on a budgetary basis. In addition, the 2017 amounts were restated and represented on a budgetary basis for comparability purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Fiscal year 2017 capital cost recovery, open space credit transfer, and interest earned credit allocations between the North and South County were restated to reflect corrections resulting in a decrease in North County income and an increase in South County income of \$1.2 million.

#### Budgetary basis discussion:

• The Funds' total operating revenues were \$239.6 million during the current fiscal year. 94.4 percent of those revenues, or \$226.2 million were related to the North County, while the remaining 5.6 percent or \$13.4 million were related to the South County.

- Operating grants applied for and received were \$4.3 million and \$71 thousand for the North County and South County, respectively. These grants helped to fund water conservation, landscape water efficiency, raw water field maintenance and operations, and recycled/reclaimed water programs.
- Operating expenses for the North County include \$135.1 million in cost of goods sold, or 59.8 percent of its total operating revenues. For the South County, cost of goods sold is \$13.6 million or 100.8 percent of its total operating revenues.
- Administration and general expenses were 9.5 percent of total operating revenues in the North County and 28.6 percent of total operating revenues in the South County.
- Total operating revenues of \$239.6 million, less total operating expenses of \$174.1 million, netted \$65.5 million of income from operations. The North County registered a net operating gain of \$73.8 million, while the South County suffered a loss of \$8.3 million.

Income from operations was supplemented with property tax and investment earnings totaling \$38.7 million.

- Property taxes collected in the North County amounted to \$34.1 million, while \$3.3 million were collected in South County for a total of \$37.4 million. These are comprised of the voter approved obligations for State Water Project and the water utility's allocated share of the countywide 1 percent ad valorem taxes.
- Due to higher yields realized in the current fiscal year, investment earnings of \$1.3
  million were up by 29.4 percent compared to the \$979 thousand earned during the
  previous fiscal year.

The following table shows the rates for water services for fiscal year 2018

#### Water Utility Enterprise Funds Rate Summary

	Rate
Groundwater  North County – Agricultural North County – Non-Agricultural South County – Agricultural South County – Non-Agricultural	\$ 25.09 1,175.00 25.09 418.00
<u>Treated Water</u> Contract (Scheduled) <sup>(2)</sup> Non-Contract <sup>(3)</sup>	1,275.00 1,225.00
Surface Water (Basic User Charge) North County – Agricultural North County – Non-Agricultural South County – Agricultural South County – Non-Agricultural	25.09 1,175.00 25.09 418.00
Water Master <sup>(1)</sup>	33.36
Minimum Surface Water Charge North County – Non-Agricultural South County – Non-Agricultural North County – Agricultural South County – Agricultural	881.25 313.50 18.82 18.82
Reclaimed Water Gilroy Reclamation Facility – Agricultural Gilroy Reclamation Facility – Non-Agricultural	48.88 398.00

<sup>(1)</sup> The surface water charge is the sum of the basic user charge plus the water master charge.

#### **Capital Assets**

The Funds' capital asset balance, net of accumulated depreciation, amounts to \$1.13 billion at June 30, 2018. Capital asset composition includes land, intangible rights, buildings, structures and improvements, machinery and equipment, and construction in progress. Capital assets for the current fiscal year went up \$71.9 million or 6.8%.

<sup>(2)</sup> The total treated water contract charge (\$1,275.00/AF) is the sum of the basic user charge (\$1,175.00/AF) plus the contract surcharge (\$100.00/AF).

<sup>(3)</sup> The total treated water non-contract charge (\$1,225.00/AF) is the sum of the basic user charge (\$1,175.00/AF) plus the non-contract surcharge (\$50.00/AF).

A fiscal year comparative breakdown of the categories of capital assets for the Funds is shown below.

## Water Utility Enterprise Funds Capital Assets (Net of Accumulated Depreciation) (Dollars in Thousands)

	2	2018		2018 2		2017
Land	\$	19,180	9	\$ 19,180		
Easements		162		162		
Contract water and storage rights		43,333		45,757		
Buildings		82,656		84,533		
Structures and improvements		585,049		599,122		
Equipment		5,401		6,406		
Construction in progress		397,842		306,529		
Total	\$ 1,	133,623	_	1,061,689		

Additional information on the Funds capital assets activity for fiscal year 2018 is shown in Note 6 of this report.

#### **Debt Administration**

The Funds' total long-term debts at June 30, 2018 amount to \$571.2 million. A comparative breakdown of its long-term debts is shown below:

### Water Utility Enterprise Funds Outstanding Debt Obligations (Dollars in Thousands)

	2018	2017
Danda navahla	Φ 200 205	Ф 004.CEE
Bonds payable	\$ 386,335	\$ 394,655
Compensated absences	5,168	4,990
Net pension liability	100,278	89,563
Semitropic water banking	8,150	4,473
Other post employment benefits	33,814	(516)
Bond discount	(147)	(155)
Premium on bond issue	37,587	39,101
Total	\$ 571,185	\$ 532,111

\_\_\_\_\_

Total long-term debts increased by \$39.1 million during the current fiscal year, mainly from the increases in net other post employment (OPEB) and pension liability of \$34.3 million and \$10.7 million, respectively. Outstanding bonds payable dropped \$8.3 million from principal payments made during the year.

The credit ratings of the Funds' senior lien obligations (Series 2006B and 2007B) are Aa1 from Moody's and AA- from S&P. The Fund's parity lien obligations (Series 2016ABCD and Series 2017A) are rated Aa1 from Moody's and AA+ from Fitch.

Additional information on the Funds' long-term liabilities can be found in Note 7(b) of this report.

#### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates**

The District's \$509.9 million budget for fiscal year 2019 will focus on the following initiatives:

- Infrastructure maintenance and construction needs (ensuring dam safety, managing infrastructure for reliability, care of District facilities and assets)
- Funding for capital projects (shortage of federal funding, coordinated planning of permitting efforts, environmental stewardship efforts)
- Advancing the District's interests in countywide stormwater resource planning
- Coyote Creek flood response
- Making key decisions regarding the California Water Fix
- Advancing recycled and purified water efforts
- Finalizing the Fisheries and the Aquatic Habitat Collaborative Effort (FAHCE)
- Pursuing efforts to increase water storage opportunities
- Advancing diversity and inclusion efforts

#### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors, and creditors of the North and South Counties with a general overview of the Funds' finances and to demonstrate accountability for the money that the Funds receive. If you have any questions about this report or need any additional information, contact the General Accounting Unit at 5750 Almaden Expressway, San Jose, CA 95118, or call (408) 265-2600.



#### Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2018 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Water	State Water	Total
ASSETS	Enterprise Fund	Project Fund	Total
Current assets:			
Cash and investments (Note 3) Receivables:	\$ 184,601	\$ 12,938	\$ 197,539
Accounts	36,833	11	36,844
Taxes	46	150	196
Deposits and other assets	9,809	-	9,809
Total current assets	231,289	13,099	244,388
Non current assets:			
Restricted cash and investments (Note 3)	212	-	212
Prepaid insurance on bond issuance	161	=	161
Capital assets: (Note 6)			
Contract water rights, net	26,334	16,999	43,333
Depreciable, net	673,106	-	673,106
Nondepreciable	417,184		417,184
Total non current assets	1,116,997	16,999	1,133,996
Total assets	1,348,286	30,098	1,378,384
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES			. = -
Deferred amount on refunding	454	-	454
Deferred outflows of resources - pension activities	26,160	=	26,160
Deferred outflows of resources - OPEB	5,465		5,465
Total deferred outflows of resources	32,079		32,079
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	19,962	321	20,283
Accrued liabilities	5,705	-	5,705
Commercial paper (Note 7)	75,800	=	75,800
Deposits payable	9,393	-	9,393
Unearned revenue	1,207	-	1,207
Bonds payable - current (Note 7)	12,296	-	12,296
Compensated absense	1,197	201	1,197
Total current liabilities	125,560	321	125,881
Non current liabilities:			
Bonds payable - net of discounts and premiums (Note 7)	411,479	-	411,479
Compensated absense	3,971	-	3,971
Net pension liability (Note 10)	100,278	-	100,278
Other post employment benefits liability (Note 11)	33,814	-	33,814
Other Debt	8,150		8,150
Total non current liabilities	557,692	- 001	557,692
Total liabilities	683,252	321	683,573
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Deferred inflows of resources - pension activities	3,320	-	3,320
Deferred inflows of resources - OPEB	1,019		1,019
Total deferred inflows of resources	4,339		4,339
NET POSITION (Note 9) Net investment in capital assets	609,515	16,999	626,514
Restricted	222,212	,	,
Debt service	212	-	212
San Felipe operations	3,040	-	3,040
State water projects	-	12,778	12,778
Rate stabilization	21,066	-	21,066
Advance water purification	1,906	-	1,906
Supplemental water supply	14,677	=	14,677
Drought reserve	5,000	=	5,000
Unrestricted	37,358		37,358
Total net position	\$ 692,774	\$ 29,777	\$ 722,551

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position For the Year Ended June 30, 2018 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Water Enterprise Fund			ate Water Project Fund		Total
Operating revenues:	Φ.	07.400	Φ.		Φ.	07.400
Ground water production charges	\$	97,483	\$	-	\$	97,483
Treated water charges		132,477		-		132,477
Surface and recycled water revenue		1,041		-		1,041
Other		4,217				4,217
Total operating revenues		235,218		-		235,218
Operating expenses:						
Sources of supply		76,272		28,772		105,044
Water treatment		37,772		-		37,772
Transmission and distribution:						
Raw water		15,197		-		15,197
Treated water		1,631		-		1,631
Administration and general		27,789		-		27,789
Depreciation and amortization		28,499		944		29,443
Total operating expenses		187,160		29,716		216,876
Operating income (loss)		48,058	(29,716)			18,342
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):						
Property taxes (Note 8)		7,088		30,329		37,417
Investment income (Note 5)		1,267		-		1,267
Operating grants		4,396		-		4,396
Rental income		115		-		115
Other		884		1,212		2,096
Interest and fiscal agent fees		(16,050)		-		(16,050)
Net nonoperating revenues		(2,300)		31,541		29,241
Income before capital contributions and transfers		45,758		1,825		47,583
Capital contributions (Note 4)		4,350		-		4,350
Transfers in (Note 13)		3,252		-		3,252
Transfers out (Note 13)		(11,477)		-		(11,477)
Change in net position		41,883		1,825		43,708
Net position, beginning of year		682,698		27,952		710,650
Prior period adjustment						
Beginning OPEB liability and deferrals (Note 14)		(31,807)		-		(31,807)
Net position, beginning of year, as restated		650,891		27,952		678,843
Net position, end of year	\$	692,774	\$	29,777	\$	722,551

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

#### **WATER UTILITY ENTERPRISE FUNDS** OF THE

#### **SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT**

Statement of Cash Flows

For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

(Dollars in Thousands)

(Dollars in Thousands)	Wate	er Enterprise		ate Water		<b>T</b>
Cook flows from energing estivities		Fund	Pro	ject Fund		Total
Cash flows from operating activities:	Φ.	000 407	Φ.	(0)	Φ.	000 405
Receipts from customers and users	\$	233,467	\$	(2)	\$	233,465
Payments to suppliers		(114,454)		(28,451)		(142,905)
Payments to employees		(42,480)		-		(42,480)
Reimbursement/(payments) for interfund charges		4,859				4,859
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities		81,392		(28,453)		52,939
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:						
Property taxes received		7,119		30,489		37,608
Operating grants		4,396		-		4,396
Well permits, refunds and adjustments		884		1,212		2,096
Transfers in - open space credit		3,252		-		3,252
Transfers out to other funds		(11,477)		_		(11,477)
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities		4,174		31,701		35,875
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:		1,171		01,701		00,070
Payments on COP/revenue bonds		(9,773)				(9,773)
				-		
Issuance of commercial papers		51,570		-		51,570
Capital grants		4,350		-		4,350
Interest and fiscal agent fees paid		(17,522)		-		(17,522)
Payment for contract water rights		(9,354)		-		(9,354)
Acquisition and construction of capital assets		(92,005)				(92,005)
Net cash used by capital and related financing activities		(72,734)				(72,734)
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Proceeds from sale (purchase of) of investments		23,824		-		23,824
Rental income received		115		-		115
Interest received on cash and investments		1,267		-		1,267
Net cash provided by investing activities		25,206		_		25,206
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		38,038		3,248		41,286
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		146,563		9,690		156,253
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	184,601	\$	12,938	\$	197,539
out and out of an alone, one or you		,	<u> </u>	. =,000	Ť	,
Cash and cash equivalents are reported on the Statement of Net Position						
Cash and investments	• \$	184,601	\$	12,938	\$	197,539
	φ	212	φ	12,930	φ	212
Restricted cash and investments				-		
Less cash and investments not meeting the definition of cash equivalents	T.	(212)	<u> </u>	10.000	<u> </u>	(212)
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	184,601	\$	12,938	\$	197,539
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided						
by operating activities:						
Operating income (loss)	\$	48,058	\$	(29,716)	\$	18,342
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss)						
to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:						
Depreciation, amortization and asset deletion		28,478		944		29,422
Change in operating assets and liabilities:						
(Increase)/decrease in deposits and other assets		(1,300)		-		(1,300)
(Increase)/decrease in accounts receivable		(1,751)		(2)		(1,753)
Increase/(decrease) in accounts payable		1,362		321		1,683
Increase/(decrease) in accrued liabilities		(1,412)		-		(1,412)
Increase/(decrease) in compensated absences		179		_		179
Increase/(decrease) in deposits payable		1,319		_		1,319
Increase/(decrease) in other post employment benefits payable		2,523		=		2,523
Increase/(decrease) in other post employment benefits payable Increase/(decrease) in deferred outflow/inflow of resources				-		
		(10,458)		-		(10,458)
Increase/(decrease) in pension liabilities		10,716		-		10,716
Increase/(decrease) in payable to Semitropic	<u> </u>	3,678	<u></u>	- (00.4E0)		3,678
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$	81,392	\$	(28,453)	\$	52,939
Noncash investing, capital, and financing activity:			_		_	
Purchase of capital assets on account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Notes to Basic Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### (1) THE FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY

#### (a) Description of the Reporting Entity

Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) is a special district created by an act of the legislature of the State of California (State) in 1951 and as amended. The District encompasses all of Santa Clara County.

The District is governed by a seven member Board of Directors (District Board). Each member is elected from equally divided districts drawn through a formal process. The term of office of a director is four years.

On October 12, 2009, Assembly Bill 466 was signed by the Governor of California revising the composition of the board of the District by requiring the board to transition to an all-elected board that, on or after noon on December 3, 2010, consists of seven directors who are elected pursuant to specified requirements. The board also would be required to adopt a resolution establishing boundaries of the seven electoral districts. On May 14, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted a resolution that officially set the boundaries of the seven electoral districts. In November, 2010, two directors were elected to represent the new electoral districts constituting a new board of seven members. As required by state law, the District must redraw its boundaries to reflect 2010 Census results. On October 11, 2011, the Board of Directors adopted Resolution No. 11-63 selecting the Redistricting Plan, known as the Current Adjusted Map.

The District has broad powers relating to all aspects of flood control and storm waters within the District, whether or not such waters have their sources within the District. It is also authorized to store and distribute water for use within its jurisdictional boundaries and authorized to provide sufficient water for present or future beneficial use of the lands and inhabitants of the District. The District acquires, stores, and distributes water for irrigation, residential, fire protection, municipal, commercial, industrial, and all other uses. The District also directly supports the caring for the environment and the community through careful stewardship.

The Water Utility Enterprise Funds (the "Funds") are separate enterprise funds of the District that were established to account for the water utility related transactions of the District. The Funds supply wholesale treated water, ground water, recycled water, and surface water for the residents of the Santa Clara County. The Funds are comprised of two accounting funds – the Water Enterprise Fund and the State Water Project Fund. The Water Enterprise Fund accounts for ongoing water utility operations, with revenues comprised primarily of charges to the District's groundwater and treated water customers. The State Water Project Fund accounts for the state water project tax revenue and state water project contractual costs.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### (2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### (a) Basis of Presentation

Fund Financial Statements

The Water Enterprise Fund and the State Water Project Fund (the Funds) financial statements are prepared in conformity with the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America. The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the acknowledged standard setting body for establishing accounting and financial reporting standards followed by governmental entities in the United States of America. The Funds are included as part of the District's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. Therefore, the financial statements of the Funds do not purport to represent the financial position and changes in financial position of the District as a whole.

The Funds account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

#### (b) Basis of Accounting

The Funds financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Nonexchange transactions, in which the Funds give (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes, benefit assessments and grants. On an accrual basis, revenues from property taxes and benefit assessments are recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes and assessments are levied; revenue from grants is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied; and revenue from investments is recognized when earned.

The Funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with the Funds' principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenue of the Funds is the sale of water to outside customers. Operating expenses for the Funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses. *Operating* revenues, such as charges for services, result from the exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the Funds. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal value. *Non-operating* revenues, such as subsidies and investment earnings, result from non-exchange transactions or ancillary activities.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### (c) Cash and Investments

While maintaining safety and liquidity, the District maximizes its investment return by pooling its available cash for investment purposes. Interest earnings are apportioned among funds based upon the average monthly cash balance of each fund and are allocated to each fund on a monthly basis.

The District reported investments in nonparticipating interest earnings contracts (including guaranteed investment contracts) at cost, and all other investments at fair value. The fair value of investments is based on current market prices.

For purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows, the Funds consider all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased (including restricted investments), and their equity in the cash and investment pool to be cash equivalents.

#### (d) Inventory

Inventory consists of materials and supplies held for consumption. The cost of all inventory acquired is recorded as an expense at the time of purchase. At the end of the accounting period, the inventory values of materials and supplies on hand are determined using a current cost method which approximates market value. For financial statement purposes inventories are presented under deposits and other assets.

#### (e) Capital Assets

Capital assets (including infrastructure) are recorded at historical cost or at estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Contributed capital assets are valued at their estimated acquisition value on the date contributed. The District defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Capital assets including assets under capital leases used in operations are depreciated or amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of the capital lease period or their estimated useful lives.

The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Water treatment facilities 50 Years
Buildings, structures, and trailers 25 – 50 Years
Flood control projects 30 – 100 Years
Dams 80 Years
Office furniture, fixtures, and equipment 5 - 20 Years
Automobiles and trucks 6 - 12 Years
Computer equipment 5 Years

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations when incurred. Betterments and major improvements which significantly increase values, change capacities or extend useful lives are capitalized. Upon sale or retirement of capital assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations.

#### (f) Amortization of Contract Water Rights

The District has contracted with the State for water deliveries from the State Water Project through calendar year 2035. A portion of the payments under this contract represent reimbursement of capital costs for transportation facilities (the capital cost component). The Funds capitalize the capital cost component and amortizes such component, using the straight-line method, over the remaining entitlement period.

#### (g) Amortization of Water Banking Rights

The District has contracted with the Semitropic Water Storage District and its Improvement Districts for the water banking and exchange program. The program is in effect through calendar year 2035. Participation in the program provides the District a 35% allocation for storage rights at the Semitropic Water Storage District facility, totaling 350,000 acre-feet. The Funds have capitalized the cost of the program and amortizes the cost over the 40 year entitlement period using the straight-line method.

#### (h) Amortization of Water Delivery Rights

The District has contracted with the United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation for water deliveries from Central Valley through calendar year 2027. A portion of this contract represents reimbursement of capital costs for general construction in the San Felipe Division facilities. The Funds capitalized the capital cost component and amortize such component, using the straight-line method, over the remaining entitlement period.

#### (i) Receivables

Receivables include amounts due from water utility customers as well as amounts due for property taxes and interest on investments. All receivables are shown net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$365 thousand.

#### (j) Accrued Vacation and Sick Leave Pay

It is the policy of the District to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick leave benefits. Vested or accumulated vacation and sick leave are reported as noncurrent liabilities on the statement of net position.

Maximum vacation accruals may not exceed three times the employee's annual accrual rate, per employee. All regular full-time employees are eligible for twelve (12) days of sick leave per fiscal year. Unused sick leave may be carried forward to the following fiscal year without limitation. Upon retirement, up to 480 hours of accrued sick leave shall be paid to the eligible

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

employee at the rate of 50% of the equivalent cash value. Upon resignation with ten or more years of service, or upon separation by layoff regardless of service, up to 480 hours of accrued sick leave shall be paid off at the rate of 25% of the cash value.

#### (k) Bond Premiums, Discounts and Issuance Costs

The Funds' bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond discounts. Refunding costs associated with debt refinancing are reported as deferred outflows of resources. Issuance costs are recorded as an expense of the current period.

On the statement of net position and the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, premiums and discounts related to outstanding debt are deferred and amortized over the life of the debt obligation. Prepaid insurance associated with the issuance of debts are reported as prepaid expenses.

#### (I) Accounting for Encumbrances

The District employs encumbrance accounting as a significant aspect of budgetary control. Under encumbrance accounting, purchase orders, contracts and other expenditure commitments are recorded as assignment of net position since they are not treated as current expenditures or outstanding liabilities at year end for GAAP financial reporting.

#### (m) Net Position

The net position of the Funds is classified based primarily to the extent to which the District is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for expenses, the District expends the restricted funds and then the unrestricted funds.

#### (n) Estimates

The preparation of the basic financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### (o) Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Generally accepted accounting principles require that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used:

Valuation Date June 30, 2016 Measurement Date June 30, 2017

#### (p) Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's plan (OPEB) Plan) and additions to/deductions from the OPEB's Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Generally accepted accounting principles require that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used:

Valuation Date June 30, 2017 Measurement Date June 30, 2017

#### (q) Fair Value Measurement

The District has applied Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application. GASB Statement No. 72 provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for reporting purposes and applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements. The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are observable inputs (other than quoted marked prices) using matrix pricing based on the securities relationship to benchmark quoted prices; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

#### (r) Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position that applies to future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until then.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. Deferred inflows of resources represent an

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

acquisition of net position that applies to future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow or resources (revenues) until such time.

#### (s) New Pronouncements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) releases new accounting and financial reporting standards which may have a significant impact on the District's financial reporting process. Current and future new standards which may impact the District include the following:

#### Current Accounting Pronouncements:

GASB Statement No. 75 – In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 75 – Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB). This Statement has been implemented for Fiscal Year 2017-18.

GASB Statement No. 81 – In March 2016, GASB issued Statement No. 81, *Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements*. The objective of the Statement is to improve financial reporting for irrevocable split-interest agreements by providing recognition and measurement guidance for situations in which a government is a beneficiary of the agreement. The Statement requires that a government that receives resources pursuant to an irrevocable split-interest agreement recognize assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources at the inception of the agreement. Furthermore, the Statement requires that a government recognize assets representing its beneficial interests in irrevocable split-interest agreements that are administered by a third party, if the government controls the present service capacity of the beneficial interests. The Statement requires that a government recognize revenue when the resources become applicable to the reporting period. The Statement is effective for the reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, or Fiscal Year 2017-18. This Statement is not applicable to the District.

GASB Statement No. 85 – In March 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 85, *Omnibus 2017*. The objective of this Statement is to address practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. This Statement addresses a variety of topics including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and Postemployment benefits (pensions and other postemployment benefits (OPEB). The Statement is effective for the reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017, or Fiscal Year 2017-18. The District has determined that this Statement does not have a material impact on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 86 – In May 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 86, *Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues*. The primary objective of this Statement is to improve consistency in accounting and financial reporting for in-substance defeasance of debt by providing guidance for transactions in which cash and other monetary assets acquired with only existing resources—resources other than the proceeds of refunding debt—are placed in an irrevocable trust for the sole purpose of extinguishing debt. This Statement also improves accounting and financial reporting for prepaid insurance on debt that is extinguished and

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

notes to financial statements for debt that is defeased in substance. The Statement is effective for the reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017, or Fiscal Year 2017-18. This Statement is not applicable to the District.

#### Future Accounting Pronouncements:

GASB Statement No. 83 – In November 2016, GASB issued Statement No. 83, *Certain Asset Retirement Obligations*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain asset retirement obligations (AROs). An ARO is a legally enforceable liability associated with the retirement of a tangible capital asset. A government that has legal obligations to perform future asset retirement activities related to its tangible capital asset should recognize a liability based on the guidance in this Statement. This Statement also requires disclosure of information about the nature of a government's ARO, the methods and assumptions used for the estimates of the liabilities, and the estimated remaining useful life of the associated tangible capital assets. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2018, or Fiscal Year 2018-19. The District has not determined the impact of this pronouncement on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 84 – In January 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*. The objective of this Statement is to improve guidance regarding the identification of fiduciary activities for accounting and financial reporting purposes and how those activities should be reported. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, or Fiscal Year 2019-20. The District has not determined the impact of this pronouncement on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 87 – In June 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 87, *Leases*. The objective of this Statement is to better meet the information needs of financial statement users by improving accounting and financial reporting for leases by governments. This Statement increases the usefulness of governments' financial statements by requiring recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. It establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. Under this Statement, a lessee is required to recognize a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset, and a lessor is required to recognize a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources, thereby enhancing the relevance and consistency of information about governments' leasing activities. The Statement is effective for the reporting periods

beginning after December 15, 2019, or Fiscal Year 2020-21. The District has not determined the impact of this pronouncement on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 88 – In April 2018, GASB issued Statement No. 88, *Certain Disclosures Related to Debt, Including Direct Borrowings and Direct Placements.* The objective of this Statement is to improve note disclosures related to debt. This Statement requires that all debt disclosures present direct borrowings and direct placements of debt separately from other types of debt. This Statement is effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2018, or Fiscal Year 2018-19. The District has not determined the impact of this pronouncement on the financial statements.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

GASB Statement No. 89 – In June 2018, GASB issued Statement No. 89, *Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred Before the End of a Construction Period.* The objective of this Statement is to enhance the relevance and comparability of information about capital assets and the cost of borrowing for a reporting period and (b) to simplify accounting for certain interest costs. This Statement requires that interest cost incurred before the end of a construction period be recognized as an expense in the period in which the cost is incurred for financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus. As a result, interest cost incurred before the end of a construction period will not be included in the historical cost of a capital asset reported in the financial statements. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, or Fiscal Year 2020-21. The District has not determined the impact of this pronouncement on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 90 – In August 2018, GASB issued Statement No. 90, *Majority Equity Interest, an amendment of GASB statement No. 14 and No. 61*. The objective of this Statement is to improve how majority equity interest is reported. The Statement specifies that a majority equity interest in a legally separate organization should be reported as an investment using the equity method if a government's holding of the equity interest meets the definition of an investment and for all other holdings of a majority equity interest in a legally separate organization, a government should report the legally separate organization as a component unit. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, or Fiscal Year 2019-20. The District has not determined the impact of this pronouncement on the financial statements.

#### (3) CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The Funds pool their cash and investments with the District. The pool balance at June 30, 2018 is as follows (in thousands):

Statement of Net Position:

Cash and investments \$ 599,683 Restricted cash and investments 12,126

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position:

Cash and investments 185 \$ 611,994

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### Investments

At June 30, 2018, cash and investments based on fair market value consist of the following (in thousands):

U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 414,135
U.S. Treasury Obligations	34,045
Medium Term Notes	13,384
Local Agency Investment Fund	64,033
Mutual Funds	61
Supranational Obligations	14,796
Municipal Bonds	18,076
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	1,420
Money Market Funds	40,524
Total Investments	600,474
Carrying amount of cash	11,520
Total Cash and Investments	\$ 611,994
Total Cash and Investments	\$ 611,994

As of June 30, 2018, the fair value of the District's investment in the State investment pool (LAIF) is \$64 million in non-restricted cash. The Local Investment Advisory Board (Board) has oversight responsibility for LAIF. The Board consists of five members as designated by State Statute. The District is a voluntary participant in the pool. The value of the pool shares in LAIF, which may be withdrawn, is determined on an amortized cost basis, which is different than the fair value of the District's position in LAIF. The pool is not registered with the SEC.

#### **Authorized Investments by the District**

The District's Investment Policy and the California Government Code allow the District to invest in the following types of investments, provided the credit ratings of the issuers are acceptable to the District. The following items also identify certain provisions of the District and California Government Code that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk. This list does not address the District's investments of debt proceeds held by fiscal agents that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements of the District, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy, when more restrictive.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum Percentage of	Maximum Investment in
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	Credit Quality	Portfolio	One Issuer
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	(Exempt from disclosure)	None	None
U.S. Government Agency Issues (A)	5 years	(Exempt from disclosure)	None	None
Bankers Acceptances	180 days	AA-	40%	4.8%
Commercial Paper	90 days	AA-	15%	1.8%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	AA-	30%	3.6%
Nonnegotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	Satisfactory CRA	A 5%	\$250,000 & FDIC Membership
Collateralized Repurchase Agreements	30 days	AA-	None	None
Medium Term Notes	5 years	AA-	15%	1.8%
Municipal Obligations	5 years	AA-	15%	1.8%
California Local Agency Investment Fund (B)	N/A	N/A	(B)	(B)
Mutual Funds	N/A	AAA	10%	
Supranational Obligations	5 years	AA	15%	1.8%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(A)</sup> Securities issued by agencies of the federal government such as the Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB), the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation of America and the Tennessee Valley Authority.

#### **Restricted Cash and Investments for Bond Interest and Redemption**

Under the provisions of the District's revenue bond resolutions and Installment Purchase Agreement for the 2007B, 2012A, 2016C, 2016D, and 2017A Certificates of Participations (COPs) and Water Utility Revenue and Refunding Bonds 2006B, 2016A, 2016B, and 2017A, a portion of the proceeds from these debt issuances is required to be held in custody accounts by a fiscal agent as trustee.

As of June 30, 2018, the amount invested in assets held by fiscal agent amounted to \$6.3 million for certificates of participation and \$56.4 thousand for revenue bonds and was equal to or in excess of the amount required at that date.

#### **Restricted Cash and Investments for Capital Projects**

The District has construction and acquisition funds from the 2017A Certificates of Participation (COP) which is used to pay for the capital projects on flood control and watershed

<sup>(</sup>B) LAIF will accept no more than \$65 million of an agency's unrestricted funds while placing no constraints on funds relating to unspent bond proceeds.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

improvements authorized by the COP indenture. At June 30, 2018, the balance of this fund is \$5.5 million.

The District has also issued commercial paper to provide for any District purposes, including but not limited to, capital expenditure, investment and reinvestment, and the discharge of any obligation or indebtedness of the District. At June 30, 2018, the total balance of the taxable and the tax-exempt commercial paper certificate accounts is \$149 thousand. Both account balances were cash transfers from the District to fiscal agent to fund maturing interest payments on commercial papers outstanding.

#### **Authorized Investments by Debt Agreements**

The District must maintain required amounts of cash and investments with trustees or fiscal agents under the terms of certain debt issues. These funds are unexpended bond proceeds or are pledged reserves to be used if the District fails to meet its obligations under these debt issues. The California Government Code requires these funds to be invested in instruments which, at the time of such investment, are legal investments under the laws of the State of California, District ordinances, policies, and bond indentures. The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by fiscal agents. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements.

	Maximum	Minimum
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	<b>Credit Quality</b>
U.S. Treasury Obligations <sup>(A)</sup>	N/A	N/A
U.S. Agency Securities <sup>(B)</sup>	N/A	N/A
State Obligations <sup>(C)</sup>	N/A	Α
Commercial Paper	270 days	A1
Unsecured CD's, deposit accounts, time deposits, and		
bankers acceptances	365 days	A-1
FDIC Insured Deposit <sup>(D)</sup>	N/A	N/A
Money Market Funds	N/A	AAAm
Collateralized Repurchase Agreements <sup>(E)</sup>	N/A	A-1
Investment Agreements <sup>(F)</sup>	N/A	AA-
Investment Approved in Writing by the Certificate Insurer (G)	N/A	N/A
Local Agency Investment Fund of the State of CA	N/A	N/A
Supranational Obligations	N/A	AA

<sup>(</sup>A) Direct obligations of the United States of America and securities fully and unconditionally guaranteed as to the timely payment of principal and interest by the United States of America, provided that the full faith and credit of the United States of America must be pledged to any such direct obligation or guarantee.

<sup>(</sup>B) Direct obligations and fully guaranteed certificates of beneficial interest of the Export-Import Bank of the United States; consolidated debt obligations and letter of credit-backed issues of the

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Federal Home Loan Banks; participation certificates and senior debt obligations of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMCs"); debentures of the Federal Housing Administration; mortgage-backed securities (except stripped mortgage securities which are valued greater than par on the portion of unpaid principal) and senior debt obligations of the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMAs"); participation certificates of the General Services Administration; guaranteed mortgage-backed securities and guaranteed participation certificates of the Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMAs"); guaranteed participation certificates and guaranteed pool certificates of the Small Business Administration; local authority Certificates of the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development; guaranteed Title XI financings of the U.S. Maritime Administration; guaranteed transit Certificates of the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority; Resolution Funding Corporation securities.

- (C) Direct obligations of any state of the United States of America or any subdivision or agency thereof whose unsecured, uninsured and unguaranteed general obligation debt is rated, at the time of purchase, "A" or better by Moody's and "A" or better by S&P.
- (D) Deposits of any bank or savings and loan association which has combined capital, surplus and undivided profits of not less than \$3 million, provided such deposits are continuously and fully insured by the Bank Insurance Fund or the Savings Association Insurance Fund of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
- (E) Repurchase agreements collateralized by Direct Obligations, GNMAs, FNMAs or FHLMCs with any registered broker/dealer subject to the Securities Investors' Protection Corporation jurisdiction or any commercial bank insured by the FDIC, if such broker/dealer or bank has an uninsured, unsecured and unquaranteed obligation rated "P-1" or "A3" or better by Moody's and "A-1" or "A-" or better by S&P, provided: (1) a master repurchase agreement or specific written repurchase agreement governs the transaction; and (2) the securities are held free and clear of any lien by the Trustee or an independent third party acting solely as agent ("Agent") for the Trustee, and such third party is (i) a Federal Reserve Bank, or (ii) a bank which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and which has combined capital, surplus and undivided profits of not less than \$50 million or (iii) a bank approved in writing for such purpose by the Certificate Insurer, and the Trustee shall have received written confirmation from such third party that it holds such securities, free and clear of any lien, as agent for the Trustee; and (3) a perfected first security interest under the Uniform Commercial Code, or book entry procedures prescribed at 31 C.F.R. 306.1 et seq. or 31 C.F.R. 350.0 et seq. if such securities is created for the benefit of the Trustee; and (4) the repurchase agreement has a term of 180 days or less, and the Trustee or the agent will value the collateral securities no less frequently than weekly and will liquidate the collateral securities if any deficiency in the required collateral percentage is not restored within two business days of such valuation; and (5) the fair value of the securities in relation to the amount of the repurchase obligation, including principal and interest, is equal to at least 103%.
- (F) Investment agreements, guaranteed investment contracts, funding agreement, or any other form of corporate note representing the unconditional obligations of entities or agencies with the unsecured long-term debt obligations or claims-paying ability rated in one of the top two rating categories by Moody's and S&P.
- (G) Any investment approved in writing by the Certificate Insurer.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest Rate Risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District generally manages its own interest rate risk by holding investments to maturity.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair value of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution to the District's investments by maturity or earliest call date (in thousands):

	Total	12 Months or less	13 to 24 Months	25 to 60 Months
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 304,665	\$ 124,642	\$ 111,352	\$ 68,671
U.S. Government Agencies - Callable	109,470	2,970	34,432	72,068
U.S. Treasury Obligations	34,045	20,992	2,942	10,111
Medium Term Notes	8,524	1,981	1,557	4,986
Medium Term Notes - Callable	4,860	-	-	4,860
Local Agency Investment Fund	64,033	64,033	-	-
Mutual Funds	61	61	-	-
Supranational Obligations	12,820	2,991	2,955	6,874
Supranational Obligations - Callable	1,976			1,976
Municipal Bonds	18,076		3,251	14,825
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	1,420	718	465	237
Money Market Funds	40,524	40,524		
Total Investments	\$ 600,474	\$ 258,912	\$ 156,954	\$ 184,608

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit Risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

The following table shows the minimum rating required by the` California Government Code, the District's investment policy, or debt agreements and the actual rating as of June 30, 2018 for each investment type as provided by Standard and Poor's (in thousands):

		Minimum	Exempt		Rating	g as of Yea	r-end	
	Total	Legal Rating	from Disclosure	AAA	AA+	AA	AA-	Not Rated
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 414,135	AA-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 414,135	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Treasury Obligations	34,045	AA-	34,045	-	-	-	-	-
Medium Term Notes	13,384	AA-	-	6,968	4,859	-	-	1,557
Local Agency Investment Fund	64,033	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	64,033
Mutual Funds	61	AAA	-	61	-	-	-	-
Supranational Obligations	14,796	AA	-	14,796	-	-	-	-
Municipal Bonds	18,076	AA-	-	5,355	3,523	7,725	1,473	-
Negotiable Certificates								
of Deposits	1,420	AA-	-	-	-	-	-	1,420
Money Market Funds	40,524	N/A		-				40,524
Total Investments	\$ 600,474		\$ 34,045	\$ 27,180	\$ 422,517	\$ 7,725	\$ 1,473	\$ 107,534

#### **Concentration of Credit Risk**

The District's investment policy regarding the amount that can be invested in any one issuer is stipulated by the California Government Code and District investment policy, whichever is more restrictive. However, the District is required to disclose investments that represent a concentration of five percent or more of investments in any one issuer, held by individual District Funds in the securities of issuers other than U.S. Treasury securities, mutual funds and external investments pools. At June 30, 2018, those investments consisted of the following (in thousands):

Issuer	Investment Type	Reported Amount
Government-wide		
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.	U.S. Government Agency	\$84,428
Federal National Mortgage Association	U.S. Government Agency	86,929
Federal Home Loan Bank	U.S. Government Agency	148,228
Federal Farm Credit Bank	U.S. Government Agency	94,550

#### **Custodial Credit Risk**

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the District will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party.

Under California Government Code Section 53651, depending on specific types of eligible securities, a bank must deposit eligible securities posted as collateral with its Agent having a fair market value of 105% to 150% of public agencies' cash on deposit. All of the District's deposits are either insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or collateralized with pledged securities held in trust department of the financial institutions but not in the District's name.

#### **Fair Market Value Measurement and Application**

The District measures and records its investments using fair value measurement guidelines established by generally accepted accounting principles. These guidelines recognize a three-tiered fair value hierarchy as shown below:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical investments in active markets;
- Level 2: Observable inputs (other than quoted marked prices) using matrix pricing based on the securities relationship to benchmark quoted prices; and
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs (not applicable to the District).

Shown below is a summary of the fair value hierarchy of the District's investment at fair value on June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

	6/30/2018	Level 1	Level 2	Uncategorized
Investments by Fair Value Level				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 414,135	\$ 414,135	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Treasury Obligations	34,045	34,045	-	-
Medium Term Notes	13,384	-	13,384	-
Mutual Funds	61	-	61	-
Supranational Obligations	14,796	-	14,796	-
Municipal Bonds	18,076	-	18,076	-
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	1,420	-	1,420	
Subtotal - Leveled Investments	495,917	448,180	47,737	
Local Agency Investment Fund	64,033	-	-	64,033
Money Market Funds	40,524			40,524
Subtotal - Uncategorized	104,557	-	-	104,557
Total Investments	\$ 600,474	\$ 448,180	\$ 47,737	\$ 104,557

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Deposits and withdrawals in the State Investment Pool are made on the basis of \$1 and are not using fair value. Accordingly, the District's investments of \$64 million in LAIF at June 30, 2018 are classified as uncategorized input (not classified as Level 1, Level 2, or Level 3).

#### (4) REIMBURSEMENT OF CAPITAL COSTS

The Funds derive certain revenues from reimbursements of capital costs by local, state, federal agencies and other outside sources. The following table is a summary of the reimbursements made during fiscal year 2018 (in thousands):

Local Agencies:	<u>Amount</u>
Association of Bay Area Governments	966
San Benito County Water District	1,518
San Francisco Public Utility	12
State Agencies:	
Department of Water Resources	1,849
Federal Agency:	
US Bureau of Interior, Dept. of Reclamation	5
Total	\$ 4,350

#### (5) INVESTMENT INCOME

The District earns interest income from the investment of cash. Generally accepted accounting principles, as discussed in GASB 31, require reporting investment at fair value in the financial statements. Because of this requirement, interest income earned from investing activity during the current fiscal year is adjusted upwards or downwards to reflect the change in fair value of investment.

The following represents the investment income as reported in the financial statements of the Funds, the current year GASB 31 fair value adjustment, and the unadjusted investment income at June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

Investment	Current Year	Investment
Income	GASB 31	Income
as	Fair Value	Before
Reported	Adjustment	Adjustment
\$ 1,268	\$ (1,138)	\$ 2,406

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### (6) CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets activity for the year ended June 30, 2018 was as follows (in thousands):

		eginning salance	Additions	Dele	etions	sfers / lassed		Ending Balance
Nondepreciable capital assets:								
Land	\$	19,180	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	19,180
Intangible - Easement		162	=		-	-		162
Construction in progress		306,529	91,668		-	(355)		397,842
Total nondepreciable capital assets		325,871	91,668		-	(355)		417,184
Depreciable capital assets:								
Contract water and storage rights		197,597	8,764		-	-		206,361
Buildings		91,001	-		-	-		91,001
Structures and improvements		870,100	-		-	355		870,455
Equipment		27,660	357		-	(20)		27,997
Total depreciable capital assets	1,	186,358	9,121		-	335	1	,195,814
Less accumulated depreciation and a	mor	tization						
Contract water and storage rights	(	151,840)	(11,188)		-	-		(163,028)
Buildings		(6,468)	(1,876)		-	-		(8,344)
Structures and improvements	(	270,978)	(14,428)		-	-		(285,406)
Equipment:		(21,254)	(1,363)		-	20		(22,597)
Total accumulated depreciation								
and amortization	(	450,540)	(28,855)		-	20		(479,375)
Net depreciable capital assets		735,818	(19,734)		-	355		716,439
Total capital assets, net	\$1,	061,689	\$71,934	\$	-	\$ -	\$1	,133,623

During fiscal year 2018, new construction in progress amounted to \$91.7 million. There were 49 in progress and completed projects during the fiscal year, with major project listed below (in millions):

- \$31.7 Rinconada Water Treatment Plant Reliability Improvement
- \$21.6 10-year Pipeline and Rehabilitation
- \$9.1 Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit
- \$4.4 Calero Dam Seismic Retrofit Design and Construction
- \$3.9 Pacheco Conduit Rehabilitation
- \$3.3 Indirect Potable Reuse
- \$3.2 Penitencia Force Main Seismic Retrofit
- \$3.0 Guadalupe Dam Seismic Retrofit Design and Construction
- \$2.0 Rinconada Water Treatment Plant Facility Renewal Program Residual Management Modifications
- \$1.3 Dam Safety Seismic Stability

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

\$1.2 - Wolfe Road Recycled Water Facility

Depreciation and amortization expense for the fiscal year amounted to \$28.9 million.

#### (7) SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

#### (a) Short-term debt

On December 17, 2002, the District Board of Directors authorized a commercial paper program. The commercial paper program allows the District to finance capital acquisitions while taking advantage of short term rates. This program is used in conjunction with issuing long-term liabilities to obtain the least expensive financing for the District.

On May 15, 2012, the District Board of Directors authorized the execution and delivery of certain agreements in connection with the District's commercial paper program in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$100 million.

On January 13, 2015, the District Board of Directors authorized an increase in the commercial paper program to an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$150 million. The proceeds of the commercial paper may be used for any District purposes, including but not limited to, capital expenditure, investment and reinvestment, and the discharge of any obligation or indebtedness of the District.

In fiscal year 2016, a total of \$33.6 million of commercial paper was issued and the proceeds were used to reimburse the District for Water Utility capital project expenses incurred during the fiscal year. The District refunded \$148 million of outstanding commercial paper with long term bonds on March 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2016, all outstanding taxable and tax-exempt commercial paper were fully redeemed with proceeds from the Series 2016A and 2016B Refunding Revenue Bonds.

On December 13, 2016, the District Board of Directors authorized the execution and delivery of up to \$75 million of short-term revolving certificates (Revolver) pursuant to the Certificate Purchase and Reimbursement Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The Revolver has an initial term of three years expiring on January 17, 2020. Effective June 30, 2018, the District terminated its \$75 million revolving line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank without paying an early termination fee. The early termination reflects recent updates to the projected financing needs for the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) program and the Funds' projects, which show that the existing \$150 million commercial paper program capacity is sufficient to meet the financing needs without the Wells Fargo Bank's \$75 million line of credit. This termination will save the district a minimum of \$190,000 in annual banking fees effective FY 2018-19.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Short-term debt outstanding for the Funds at June 30, 2018 is broken down as follows (in millions):

			Out	standing
Commercial Paper Program	Aut	horized	A	mount
Beginning balance	\$	225.0	\$	24.2
Additions		-		51.6
Reductions		(75.0)		-
Ending balance	\$	150.0	\$	75.8

### (b) Long-term liabilities

The long-term liabilities outstanding at the end of current fiscal year for the Funds consisted of the following (in thousands):

		Interest	Authorized	Outstanding	Due in
Type of indebtedness	Maturity	Rates	and Issued	Balance	1 Year
2006B Water revenue bond	2035	5.15%-5.31%	\$ 25,570	\$ 18,930	\$ 775
2016A Water revenue bond	2046	0.05	106,315	106,315	-
2016B Water revenue bond	2046	4.154%-4.354%	75,215	75,215	-
2017A Water revenue bond	2037	3.4% - 3.7%	54,710	53,110	1,700
2007B Water revenue COP bond	2037	5.55%-floating	53,730	39,370	1,390
2016C Water revenue COP bond	2029	4.0% - 5.0%	43,075	41,055	3,010
2016D Water revenue COP bond	2029	1.567%-3.679%	54,970	52,340	3,915
Bond discount				(147)	(8)
Bond premium				37,587	1,514
Compensated absences				5,168	1,197
Net pension liability				100,278	-
Other post employment liability				33,814	-
Semitropic water banking					
agreement	2035		46,900	8,150	
Total Funds debt				\$ 571,185	\$ 13,493

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities for the current fiscal year (in thousands):

	Start of Year	Additions	Reductions	End of Year	Due in 1 Yr
2006B revenue bonds	\$ 19,670	\$ -	\$ (740)	\$ 18,930	\$ 775
2016A revenue bonds	106,315	-	-	106,315	-
2016B revenue bonds	75,215	-	-	75,215	-
2017A revenue bonds	54,710	-	(1,600)	53,110	1,700
2007B COP revenue bonds	40,700	-	(1,330)	39,370	1,390
2016C COP revenue bonds	43,075	-	(2,020)	41,055	3,010
2016D COP revenue bonds	54,970	-	(2,630)	52,340	3,915
Bond discount on refunding	(155)	-	8	(147)	(8)
Premium on debt issuance	39,101	-	(1,514)	37,587	1,514
Compensated absences	4,990	4,277	(4,099)	5,168	1,197
Net pension liability	89,563	28,274	(17,559)	100,278	17,559
Other post employment benefits	(516)	39,795	(5,465)	33,814	5,465
Semitropic water banking					
agreement	4,473	3,677		8,150	
Total Funds debt	\$ 532,111	\$ 76,023	\$ (36,949)	\$ 571,185	\$ 36,517

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt are as follows (in thousands):

				Int	erest and
Description	Year Ending June 30	_Pr	incipal	am	nortization
Bonds payable	2019	\$	10,790	\$	17,581
	2020		11,380		17,344
	2021		11,850		16,888
	2022		12,340		16,409
	2023		12,870		15,899
	2024 - 2028		73,290		70,751
	2029 - 2033		84,470		52,510
	2034 - 2038		73,005		32,177
	2039 - 2043		56,060		17,410
2044 - 2048			40,280		3,798
Total bonds payable requirements		\$	386,335	\$	260,767
•	oremium on issuance		37,587		
Less: unamortized discount on refunding			(147)		
Add: compensated absences			5,168		
Add: other post employment benefits			33,814		
Add: net pension liability			100,278		
Add: semitropic wa	ater banking agreement		8,150		
Amount outstandir	ng at June 30, 2018	\$	571,185		

The following provides a brief description of the Funds' debt outstanding as of June 30, 2018:

#### 2006B Water Utility System Refunding Revenue Bonds

In December 2006, the District issued \$99,835,000 of Water Utility System Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A and Taxable Series 2006B, pursuant to the Water Utility Senior System Master Resolution (94-58, as amended by 06-80). The proceeds of \$57,415,000 of the 2006A and 2006B Bonds were used to refinance \$55,265,000 of the remaining 2000A and 2000B and the proceeds of \$42,420,000 of 2006A and 2006B were used to repay approximately \$40,900,000 of commercial paper notes. In March 2016, the District issued Series 2016A Water System Refunding Revenue Bonds to refund all 2006A outstanding principal.

#### 2016A/B Water Systems Refunding Revenue Bonds

In March 2016, the District issued \$181,530,000 of Water Systems Refunding Revenue Bonds comprising of Series 2016A for \$106,315,000 and Taxable Series B for \$75,215,000, pursuant to the Water Utility Parity System Master Resolution (16-10). Proceeds of the 2016A Revenue Bonds, along with the original issue premium, were used to refinance all the currently outstanding Water Utility System Refunding Revenue Bonds Series 2006A and

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

repay \$73,040,000 of outstanding tax-exempt commercial paper notes. Proceeds of the 2016B Revenue Bonds were used to repay \$75,000,000 of the balance of the outstanding taxable commercial paper notes and costs of issuance. The obligation of the District to pay principal and interest of the 2016A/B Water Systems Refunding Revenue Bonds is secured by a pledge of and lien on the District's Water Utility System Revenues.

### 2017A Water System Utility Refunding Revenue Bonds

In May 2017, the District issued \$54,710,000 of Water Systems Refunding Revenue Bonds to refund the \$64.75 million outstanding balance of the Water Utility System Revenue Certificates of Participation Series 2007A and pay costs of issuance of the 2017A Bonds. The obligation of the District to pay principal and interest on the 2017A Bonds is secured by a pledge of and lien on the District's Water Utility System Revenues and are payable from the Net Water Utility System Revenues pursuant to the Water Utility System Parity Master Resolution (16-10) approved by the Board on February 23, 2016, as amended.

### 2007B Water Utility Revenue Certificates of Participation

In October 2007, the District issued \$131,000,000 of Water Utility Revenue Certificates of Participation Bonds, Series 2007A and Taxable Series 2007B, pursuant to the Water Utility Senior System Master Resolution (94-58, as amended by 06-80). The proceeds of the 2007A and 2007B bonds were used to finance capital construction projects in the Water Utility Enterprise. The District funded the 2007A Debt Reserve Fund by purchasing a surety. The 2007A issuance was \$77,270,000 fixed rate bonds with a 30 year maturity. The 2007B issuance of \$53,730,000 are floating rate notes based on the three month LIBOR rate plus 32 basis points with a 30 year maturity. The District has pledged its net water utility revenues to secure the quarterly debt service payments for the 2007B issuance.

#### 2016C/D Water Utility Revenue Certificates of Participation

In March 2016, the District issued \$98,045,000 of Water Utility Systems Improvement Projects Revenue Certification of Participation Bonds Series 2016 for \$43,075,000 and Taxable Series 2016D for \$54,970,000, pursuant to the Water Utility Parity System Master Resolution (16-10). Proceeds of the 2016C/D bonds, along with the original issue premium will be used to finance capital construction projects in the Water Utility Enterprise and costs of issuance.

#### Semitropic Water Banking Agreement

In December 1995, the Santa Clara Valley Water District entered into a water banking and exchange program with Semitropic Water Storage District and its Improvement Districts that entitles the District to storage, withdrawal, and exchange rights for the District's State Water Project supplies. The Santa Clara Valley Water District's share of the total program capital costs is \$46.9 million based on a 35 percent vesting in the program. The District pays the program capital costs when storing and recovering water. At June 30, 2018, the District has \$8.2 million outstanding liability related to water storage and banking rights.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### Compensated Absences

Compensated absences are paid out of the general fund as an employee benefit expense in the year the expense is realized and are charged to the different funds as part of the direct benefit rate. The compensated absences liability for the year is recognized in the District's various enterprise funds and on the governmental activities column in the statement of net position.

#### Compliance with Bond Covenants

Resolutions associated with the District's bonds and certificates of participation contain a number of covenants, limitations, and restrictions. The District believes it is in compliance with all significant covenants, limitations, and restrictions.

#### Revenues Pledged

The District pledged water utility system revenues, net of specified maintenance and operating expenses, to repay \$386.3 million in long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2018, that was issued to finance the cost of capital construction projects for the water utility enterprise. The secured debt includes revenue bonds and COPs. The revenue bonds are payable from net water utility system revenues and the revenue COPs are payable from installments that are secured by net water utility system revenues. The long-term debt is payable through fiscal year 2046. Total principal outstanding and interest costs remaining to be paid on the combined debt is \$647.1 million.

Additionally, \$105.8 million in commercial paper certificates was outstanding as of June 30, 2018 through the District's \$150 million commercial paper program. The commercial paper certificates are secured by a \$150 million letter of credit issued by MUFG Bank, Ltd. and, to the extent that proceeds from draws on the bank letter of credit are not sufficient, tax and revenue anticipation notes issued by the District to the District's Public Facilities Financing Corporation. The obligation of the District to make payments on the notes is a general obligation of the District. The District has additionally pledged net water utility system revenues, on a subordinate basis to long-term debt, to payments on the notes.

#### (8) PROPERTY TAXES AND BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS

The Funds derive certain revenues from the assessment of property tax parcel levies. The property tax levy is composed of the following categories: (1) a 1% tax allocation; and (2) voter approved levy to repay capital and operating costs related to imported water from the State Water Project.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Property tax revenues recorded for the year ended June 30, 2018 are as follow (in thousands):

	Amount	
Property taxes:		
1% tax allocation	\$	7,088
Voter approved indebtedness:		
State Water Project Fund		30,329
Total property taxes	\$	37,417

The County is responsible for the assessment, collection, and apportionment of property taxes for the District. The amount of property tax levies is restricted by Article 13A of the California State Constitution (commonly referred to as Proposition 13). The District is responsible for determining the amount of benefit assessment, special parcel tax, and State Water Project Debt Service. Secured property taxes and benefit assessments are each payable in equal installments on November 1 and February 1, and become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. The lien date is January 1 of each year. The Funds record property taxes as they are levied. Property taxes on the unsecured roll are due on the March 1 lien date and become delinquent if still unpaid on August 31.

The District has elected to participate in the "Teeter Plan" offered by the County whereby the District receives 100% of secured property and supplemental property taxes levied in exchange for foregoing any interest and penalties collected on the related delinquent taxes.

#### (9) NET POSITION

The Funds financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as follows:

<u>Net Investment in Capital Assets</u> - This category groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in this category.

<u>Restricted Net position</u> – This category presents external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, laws, or regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Unrestricted Net position</u> – This category represents net position of the District, not restricted for any project or other purpose.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

The following table shows the breakdown of the Funds' net position at June 30, 2018 (in thousands):

	Water	ite Water	
	Enterprise Fund	 Projects Fund	Total
		 _	
Net investment in capital assets	\$609,515	\$ 16,999	\$ 626,514
Restricted Net Position			
San Felipe Emergency Reserve	3,040	-	3,040
Debt Service Reserve	212	-	212
Rate Stabilization	21,066	-	21,066
Advanced Water Purification Center	1,906	-	1,906
Supplemental Water Supply Reserve	14,677	-	14,677
Drought Reserve	5,000	-	5,000
State Water Projects		12,778	12,778
Total restricted net position	45,901	12,778	58,679
Unrestricted Net Position			
Operating & Capital Contingencies	20,307	-	20,307
Currently Authorized Projects	42,010	-	42,010
Encumbrances	83,708	-	83,708
Net Pension Liability	(76,513)	-	(76,513)
Net Other Post Employment Benefit Liability	(32,154)	_	(32,154)
Total unrestricted net position	37,358	-	37,358
Net Position	\$692,774	\$ 29,777	\$ 722,551

### (10) EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN

#### **Plan Description**

All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan (the Plan) administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for its participating member employers. Benefit provisions under the Plans are established by State statute and District's resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### **Benefits Provided**

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the California Public Employees' Retirement Law. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by State statutes and may be amended by the District's governing board.

The Plan's provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2018, are summarized as follows:

	Prior to	3/19/2012 to	On or after
Hire date	3/19/2012	12/31/2012	1/1/2013
Benefit formula	2.5% @ 55	2% @ 60	2% @ 62
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service
Benefit payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life
Minimum Retirement age	50	50	52
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	2.0% to 2.5%	1.1% to 2.4%	1.0% to 2.5%
Required employee contribution rates	8.0% + .92%*	7.0% + 1.92%*	6.75%
Required employer contribution rates	9.985% plus \$11,52 service cost	25,000 prepayment for	prior unfunded

<sup>\*</sup> Member additional contribution towards District's CalPERS cost per negotiated agreement with the bargaining units

**Employees Covered** – As of the most recent CalPERS annual valuation report, dated June 30, 2017, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of the Plan:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving	732
Active employees	743

#### **Contributions**

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for the Plan is determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

For the year ended June 30, 2018, contributions to the plan were \$19.7 million. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. All funds with payroll charges contribute to the actuarially determined contribution.

#### **Net Pension Liability**

The District's net pension liability for the Plan is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2017, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2016 rolled forward to June 30, 2017 using standard update procedures. A summary of principal assumptions and methods used to determine the net pension liability is shown below.

**Actuarial Assumptions** – The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

June 30, 2016
June 30, 2017
Entry-age normal cost method
7.15%
2.75%
Varies by entry age and service
7.15%
Derived using CalPERS' membership data for all funds
Contract COLA up to 2.75% unit purchasing power protection allowance floor on purchasing power applies, 2.75% thereafter.

<sup>(1)</sup> Net of pension plan investment and administrative expenses; includes inflation.

The actuarial methods and assumptions used for the June 30, 2016 valuation were derived from the 2014 experience study for the period 1997 to 2011, including updates to salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. The Experience Study can be obtained at CalPERS' website under "Forms and Publications".

#### **Discount Rate**

In 2017, the discount rate was reduced from 7.65% to 7.15%. The updated discount rate will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup>The mortality rate table was developed based on CaLPERS' specific data. The table includes 20 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuarial Scale BB.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

results are presented in a detailed report called "GASB Crossover Testing Report" that can be obtained at CalPERS' website under the GASB 68 section.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contribution on time and as scheduled on all future years. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The following table reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	Current Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1 – 10 <sup>(1)</sup>	Real Return Years 11+ <sup>(2)</sup>
Global Equity	47.0%	4.90%	5.38%
Global Fixed Income	19.0%	0.80%	2.27%
Inflation Sensitive	6.0%	0.60%	1.39%
Private Equity	12.0%	6.60%	6.63%
Real Estate	11.0%	2.80%	5.21%
Infrastructure and Forestland	3.0%	3.90%	5.36%
Liquidity	2.0%	-0.40%	-0.90%
Total	100.0%		-

<sup>(1)</sup>An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.

<sup>(2)</sup>An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### **Changes in the Net Pension Liability**

The following table shows the changes in net pension liability recognized over the measurement period:

	Increase (Decrease)					
	Total Pension Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability (c) = (a) - (b)			
Beginning Balance	\$ 711,593,432	\$508,377,503	\$ 203,215,929			
Changes Recognized for the						
Measurement Period:						
Service Cost	15,752,291	-	15,752,291			
Interest on Total Pension						
Liability	53,109,673	-	53,109,673			
Changes in Assumptions	44,289,025	-	44,289,025			
Difference between Expected and						
Actual Experience	(4,716,605)	-	(4,716,605)			
Net Plan to Plan Resource Movement	-	370	(370)			
Contribution from Employer	-	19,055,019	(19,055,019)			
Contribution from Employees	-	6,624,798	(6,624,798)			
Net Investment Income	-	56,514,065	(56,514,065)			
Benefit Payments, including Refunds						
of Employee Contribution	(32,498,706)	(32,498,706)	-			
Administrative Expense		(750,585)	750,585			
Net Changes	75,935,678	48,944,961	26,990,717			
Ending Balance	\$ 787,529,110	\$557,322,464	\$ 230,206,646			

### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the District, calculated using the current discount rate, as well as what the District's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Discount Rate - 1%		Current Discount	Disc	count Rate + 1%
		6.15%	7.15%		8.15%
Plan Net Pension Liability/(Assets)	\$	337,530,299	\$230,206,646	\$	141,463,542

### **Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position**

Detailed information about the District's pension plan fiduciary net position is available in separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflow/Inflow of Resources

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the District recognized pension expense of \$33.2 million. At June 30, 2018, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Deferred Outflows	Deferred Inflows
of Resources	of Resources
\$ 19,746,343	\$ -
32,634,018	(2,543,134)
-	(5,017,601)
7,367,346	
\$ 59,747,707	\$ (7,560,735)
	of Resources \$ 19,746,343 32,634,018 - 7,367,346

\$19.7 million is reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date and will be recognized as a reduction from the net pension liability in the following fiscal year. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

	Deferred		
	Outflows/(Inflows)		
Year ending June 30	of Resources		
2019	\$ 6,850,179		
2020	18,256,516		
2021	11,441,246		
2022	(4,107,312)		
Total	\$ 32,440,629		

#### (11) OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

#### **Plan Description**

The District provides post-employment health care benefits, in accordance with negotiated memoranda of understanding with employee groups and adoption by the Board of Directors, for retired employees and/or their surviving spouses, and to certain employees who retire due to disability who meet the eligibility requirements and elect the option. The District must be the employee's last CalPERS employer, and the retiree must be receiving a monthly CalPERS retirement pay.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### **Benefits Provided**

Benefits Provided	<b>a</b>		T
	Hire/Retirement Date	Eligibility Rule (Years of Continuous Service)	District's Required Contribution
	Retired prior to July 1, 1988		Fixed amount of \$165 per month
	Retired from July 1, 1988 through June 30, 1990	10 years	100% medical premium for retiree
	Retired from July 1, 1990 or later and hired prior to	10 years	100% medical premium for retiree
Classified	December 31, 2006	15 years	100% medical premium for retiree plus one eligible dependent
Employee Association (AFSCME – Local 101)  Engineers Society (IFPTE-	Retired from July 1, 1990 or later and hired between December 31, 2006 and March 1, 2007	10 years	Retiree is covered for medical.  Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on medical premium applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
Professional Managers Association (IFPTE - Local 21)	Watch 1, 2007	15 years	Retiree plus one eligible dependent are covered for medical. Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on medical premium applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
	Hired on or after March 1, 2007	15 years	Retiree is covered for medical.  Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on medical premium applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
		20 years	Retiree plus one eligible dependent are covered for medical. Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on medical premium applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Hire/Retirement Date	Eligibility Rule (Years of Continuous Service)	District's Required Contribution
	Retired prior to July 1, 1988		Fixed amount of \$165 per month
	Retired from July 1, 1988 through June 30, 1990	10 years	100% medical premium for retiree
	Retired from July 1, 1990	10 years	100% medical premium for retiree
	through June 18, 1995	15 years	100% medical premium for retiree plus one eligible dependent
Unclassified	Retired from June 19, 1995 through October	10 years	100% medical premium for retiree
At Will	21, 1996	15 years	100% medical premium for retiree plus one eligible dependent
		25 years	100% medical, dental, and vision coverages for the retiree plus two or more eligible dependents
	Retired from October 22,	10 years	100% medical premium for retiree
	1996 or later and hired prior to December 30, 2006	15 years	100% medical, dental, and vision coverages for the retiree plus one eligible dependent
		25 years	100% medical, dental, and vision coverages for the retiree plus two or more eligible dependents
	Hired on or after December 30, 2006 and prior to March 1, 2007	10 years	Medical coverage is provided for retiree. Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on the medical premium amount applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
		15 years	Medical, dental, and vision coverages are provided for retiree and one eligible dependent. Medical premium

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Hire/Retirement Date	Eligibility Rule (Years of Continuous Service)	District's Required Contribution
	Hired on or after December 30, 2006 and prior to March 1, 2007	15 years (con't)	cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on the medical premium amount applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
Unclassified  At Will		25 years	Medical, dental, and vision coverages are provided for retiree plus two or more eligible dependents. Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on the medical premium amount applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
	Hired on or after March 1, 2007	15 years	Retiree is covered for medical.  Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on medical premium applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.
		20 years	Retiree plus one eligible dependent are covered for medical. Medical premium cost sharing is required with the same contribution percentage as active employees and based on medical premium applicable to active employees or retirees, whichever is less.

As of August 1, 2007, all current retirees not yet 65 years of age and Medicare eligible and all future retirees who are Medicare eligible must enroll themselves in Medicare when they reach the eligibility date for Medicare. Their Medicare eligible dependents who are enrolled in the District's health plan must also enroll in Medicare upon their eligibility date. The District reimburses the ongoing Medicare Part B cost incurred by the retiree and/or dependent payable quarterly.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

After an evaluation of the cost savings realized in implementing the Medicare enrollment plan since August 2007, the District decided to expand the Medicare enrollment requirement to all retirees and their eligible dependents that are enrolled in the District's medical plan. As of July 1, 2009, all Medicare eligible retirees and their eligible dependents were required to enroll in Medicare. The District reimburses the Medicare Part B penalty charged by the Social Security Administration to the retirees/dependents due to late enrollment.

The District provides the unclassified group of retirees \$50,000 life insurance upon retirement with a five-year phase out in declining increments of \$10,000 per year after retirement.

**Employees Covered** – As of the most recent OPEB annual valuation report, dated June 30, 2017, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of the Plan:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving	711
Active employees	741

#### **Contributions**

On June 24, 2008, the District's Board of Directors adopted a resolution approving the agreement and election of the District to prefund OPEB through CalPERS under its California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) Program. The Board of Directors approved the reallocation of \$17.7 million from its existing reserve for the initial prefunding of the unfunded liability for the first year of reporting. Subsequent years' funding, pursuant to the annual budget approved by the Board of Directors, was made at the beginning of each fiscal year through fiscal year 2016-17. On September 9, 2008, the District joined CERBT, an agent multiple-employer plan consisting of an aggregation of single-employer plans. The CERBT issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained from the California Employees' Retirement System, P. O. Box 942703, Sacramento, CA 94229-2703.

OPEB and its contribution requirements are established by memorandum of understanding with the applicable employee bargaining units and may be amended by agreements between the District and the bargaining groups. The annual contribution is based on the actuarially determined contribution. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, the District's total contribution to the plan amounted to \$12.5 million. All funds with payroll charges contribute to the actuarially determined contribution.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### **Net OPEB Liability**

The District's net OPEB liability was measured on June 30, 2017 for reporting date June 30, 2018. The total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation dated June 30, 2017, based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

Discount Rate	7.28%
Inflation	3%
Salary Increases	3.25%
Investment Rate of Return	7.28%
Mortality Rate	Derived from the CalPERS study of Miscellaneous Public Agency experience
Pre-retirement Turnover <sup>(1)</sup>	Derived from the CalPERS study of Miscellaneous Public Agency experience
Healthcare Trend Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	6% grading to ultimate 4% for medical and flat 3% for dental and vision

<sup>(1)</sup>Net of OPEB plan investment expenses, including inflation.

The long-term, expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of OPEB plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Strategy <sup>(1)</sup> Allocation	Real Return <sup>(1)</sup>
Global Equity	59.0%	5.98%
Fixed Income	25.0%	2.62%
Global Real Estate (REITs)	8.0%	5.00%
Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS)	5.0%	1.46%
Commodities	3.0%	2.87%

<sup>(1)</sup>These expected long term real rates of return come from a geometric representation of returns that assume a general inflation rate of 2.92%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup>The mortality rate table was developed based on CaLPERS' non industrial miscellaneous public agency experience study for 14 years ending June 2011.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate of 7.28% is the expected long-term rate of return on District assets using investment strategy #1 within the CERBT. The projected cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that District contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected OPEB payments for current active and inactive employees and beneficiaries. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

### **Changes in OPEB Liability**

The following table shows the changes in net OPEB liability recognized over the measurement period:

	Increase (Decrease)			
	Total OPEB Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net OPEB Liability (c) = (a) - (b)	
Beginning Balance	\$167,805,300	\$84,500,500	\$83,304,800	
Changes Recognized for the				
Measurement Period:				
Service Cost	2,913,500	-	2,913,500	
Interest Cost	12,017,600	-	12,017,600	
Contributions	-	11,471,200	(11,471,200)	
Benefits Payments	(8,471,200)	(8,471,200)	-	
Non Benefit Related Admin				
Expenses from Plan Trusts	-	(44,900)	44,900	
Expected Investment Return	-	6,259,202	(6,259,202)	
Investment Experience (Loss)/Gain		2,924,898	(2,924,898)	
Net Changes	6,459,900	12,139,200	(5,679,300)	
Ending Balance	\$174,265,200	\$ 96,639,700	\$77,625,500	

### Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the District, calculated using the current discount rate, as well as what the District's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Discount Rate -	Current	Discount Rate
	1%	Discount	+1%
Net OPEB Liability	\$ 98,887,100	\$ 77,625,500	\$ 59,870,500

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Health Care Cost Trend Rates

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the District, if it were calculated using health care cost trend rates that are 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate, for measurement period ended June 30, 2018:

	1% Decrease	Current Rates	1% Increase
Net OPEB Liability	\$ 58,681,800	\$ 77,625,500	\$ 100,460,700

### **OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position**

Detailed information about the District's OPEB plan fiduciary net position is available in separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

### **OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflow/Inflow of Resources**

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the District recognized OPEB credit of \$4.4 million. At June 30, 2018, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflow of Resources	Deferred Inflow of Resources
OPEB contribution subsequent to		
measurement date	\$ 12,546,137	\$ -
Net difference between projected and		
actual earnings on plan investments		(2,339,918)
Total	\$ 12,546,137	\$ (2,339,918)

\$12.5 million is reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction from the net OPEB liability in the following fiscal year. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized as OPEB expense as follows:

		Deferred
	Outf	lows/(Inflows)
Year ending June 30	of	Resources
2019	\$	(584,980)
2020		(584,980)
2021		(584,980)
2022		(584,978)
Total	\$	(2,339,918)

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### (12) RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District reports all of its risk management activities in its Risk Management Internal Service Fund.

The District's deductibles and maximum coverage are as follows (in thousands):

		Commercial
		Insurance
Coverage Descriptions	Deductibles	<u>Coverage</u>
General liability	\$2,000	\$50,000
Workers' compensation	1,000	Statutory
Property damage (subject to policy sub-limits)	50	300,000
Fidelity (Crime) - Directors	5	1,000
Fidelity (Crime) – Non-Directors	10	2,000
Non-owned aircraft liability	-	5,000
Boiler and machinery	50	100,000

Claims expenses and liabilities are reported for self-insured deductibles when it is probable that a loss has occurred, and the amount of that loss can be reasonably estimated. These losses include an estimate of claims that have been incurred but not reported, allocated and unallocated claims adjustment expenses and incremental claim expense. Claim liabilities are reevaluated periodically to take into consideration recently settled claims, the frequency of claims, and other economic and social factors. At June 30, 2018, the liability for self-insurance claims was \$6,465,000. This liability is the District's best estimate based on available information. Settled claims have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Changes in the reported liability since June 30, 2018 are as follows (in thousands):

	General		W	orkers'		
	L	Liability		Compensation		Total
Claims payable at June 30, 2016	\$	3,316	\$	3,418	\$	6,734
Current year premiums,						
incurred claims and changes in estimates		(278)		(406)		(684)
Claim payments		(51)		(333)		(384)
Claims payable at June 30, 2017		2,987		2,679		5,666
Current year premiums,						
incurred claims and changes in estimates		584		677		1,261
Claim payments		(84)		(378)		(462)
Claims payable at June 30, 2018	\$	3,487	\$	2,978	\$	6,465

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

The total claims payable in the amount of \$6.47 million is recorded in the District's Risk Management Internal Service Fund. No portion of this amount is recorded in the Funds.

#### (13) TRANSFERS IN AND OUT

Transfers are used to 1) move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them, 2) move receipts to debt service from the funds collecting the receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due, and 3) move debt proceeds held in the construction fund to the funds incurring the construction expense.

During the fiscal year, the Funds received \$1.6 million each from the General Fund and Watershed & Stream Stewardship Fund for the Open Space credit on property tax receipts.

The Funds transferred \$11.4 million to the Safe, Clean Water and Natural Flood Protection Program Fund for the Main and Madrone capital projects. An additional \$99 thousand was transferred to the General Fund to support the drought emergency response project.

Details of the interfund transfers for the current fiscal year are as follows (in thousands):

		F	Amount
Fund Receiving Transfers	Fund Making Transfers	Tra	nsferred
Water Utility Enterprise Fund	General Fund	\$	1,626
Water Utility Enterprise Fund	Watershed & Stream Stewardship		1,626
Total Transfer In		\$	3,252
General Fund	Water Utility Enterprise Fund	\$	99
Safe, Clean Water Fund	Water Utility Enterprise Fund		11,378
Total Transfer Out		\$	11,477

### (14) PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT

In fiscal year 2018, the District adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financial reporting for Other Post Employment Benefits*, as of July 1, 2017. The impact of the implementation on the beginning net position is as follows:

Net Position		Amount		
Beginning balance	\$	682,698		
Pre GASB75 OPEB asset close out		(516)		
Deferred outflows of resources		4,997		
GASB75 OPEB liability		(36,288)		
Beginning balance, restated	\$	650,891		

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

### (15) COMMITMENTS

### (a) Contract and Purchase Commitments

As of June 30, 2018, the Funds have open purchase commitments of approximately \$83.5 million related to new or existing contracts and agreements. These encumbrances represent commitments of the Funds and do not represent actual expenses or liabilities.

#### (b) San Felipe Project Water Deliveries

The District has contracted with the U.S. Department of the Interior (USDI) for water deliveries from the Central Valley Project. The contract requires the District to operate and maintain Reach 1, Reach 2, and Reach 3 of the San Felipe Division facilities of the USDI.

During fiscal year 2017, the District amended this contract. The amended contract provided for compliance with the Central Valley Project Improvement Act and converted the repayment of the San Felipe Division facilities from a water service contract to a repayment contract with fixed semi-annual payments. The semi-annual payments for January 2007 through July 2016 are \$7,466,867. The semi-annual payments starting January 2017 is \$7,742,285. The amended contract preserved the attributes of a water service contract for other Central Valley Project costs.

The total commitment, including applicable interest, of the repayment contract was \$440,492,081. The remaining commitment as of June 30, 2018 was \$267,927,891.

### (c) Participation Rights in Storage Facilities

In December 1995, the District entered into a water banking and exchange program with Semitropic Water Storage District and its Improvement Districts that entitles the District to storage, withdrawal, and exchange rights for the District's State Water Project supplies. The District's share of the total program capital costs is \$46.9 million based on a 35 percent vesting in the program. The District pays the program capital costs when storing and recovering Tier 1 water. The agreement terminates in December 2035.

The District pays the program capital costs when storing and recovering Tier 1 water. As of June 30, 2018, the District has paid \$38.7 million towards the base fee obligation of this agreement. During the first 10 years, the District has a reservation for the full 35 percent allocation; by January 1, 2006, if the District's contributions towards the program capital costs did not equal \$46.9 million the District's permanent storage allocation would have been reduced. The District decided to utilize its total allowable storage rights at 35 percent on January 1, 2006.

The District currently has a storage allocation of 350,000 acre-feet. As of June 30, 2018, the District has 256,725 acre-feet of water in storage. The participation rights are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the agreement. Amortization of \$26.4 million has been recorded through fiscal year 2018.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

#### (16) CONTINGENCIES

### (a) Litigation

It is normal for a public entity like the District, with its size and activities, to be a defendant, codefendant, or cross-defendant in court cases in which money damages are sought. Discussed below are all pending litigations that the District is aware of which are significant and may have a potentially impact on the financial statements.

### Great Oaks Water Company v. Santa Clara Valley Water District

In 2005, Great Oaks Water Company (hereinafter "Great Oaks") filed an administrative claim alleging that the groundwater charges for 2005-06 violated the Law and sought a partial refund. After the claim was deemed denied, Great Oaks filed its lawsuit that subsequently included an allegation that the groundwater production charges violated Proposition 218, or Article XIII D of the state constitution because proceeds are used to fund projects and services that benefit the general public, not just ratepayers. Great Oaks demanded a partial refund as well as declaratory, injunctive and mandamus relief.

On February 3, 2010, the Honorable Kevin Murphy issued Judgment After Trial and decided that the District owes Great Oaks a refund of groundwater charges in the amount of \$4,623,096 plus interest at 7% per annum. The award of prejudgment interest as of December 1, 2009, amounted to \$1,285,524. Judge Murphy also awarded post-judgment interest at the rate of \$886.62 per day until the date of the entry of judgment. Judge Murphy also decided that the District owes Great Oaks damages in the amount of \$1,306,830. Recovery of this damages amount is in the alternative to the award of refund described above. The District appealed this decision to the Sixth District Court of Appeals.

During the pendency of the appeal, in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 62, the District recorded a liability in the amount of \$5,930,000, which includes the Judgment After Trial decision amount plus interest in fiscal year 2008-09. The District recorded \$160,000 in Fiscal Year 2009-10, \$324,000 in Fiscal Year 2010-11, \$325,000 in Fiscal Year 2011-12, and \$324,000 in Fiscal Years 2012-13 and 2013-14 as liability for the post-judgment interest from January 1, 2010 through June 30, 2014 at the rate of \$886.62 per day. No further interest was booked after the favorable judgement on March 26, 2015 by the Sixth District Court of Appeals, which is discussed further below.

On March 26, 2015, the California Court of Appeal for the Sixth Appellate District ("Court of Appeal") reversed in full the judgment of the trial court in the Great Oaks case. The Court of Appeal found that under Proposition 218 the District's groundwater charge is a "property-related fee," but also a fee for water service excepted from the voter ratification requirement. The Court of Appeal also found that the trial court erred when it found that the 2005-06 groundwater charges failed to satisfy the applicable procedural requirements. The Court of Appeal

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

also reversed the trial court's finding that the District had failed to comply with the Law in setting the groundwater fee. The effect of the Court of Appeals decision is to reverse the refund the trial court had ordered the District to pay to Great Oaks, as well as reverse the awards of damages, pre-judgment interest, and certain other amounts. The Court of Appeal remanded the case to the trial court for proceedings consistent with its decision.

On April 10, 2015, the District and Great Oaks each filed their separate petitions for rehearing with the Court of Appeal, which were granted on April 24, 2015. On August 12, 2015, the Court of Appeal again reversed in full the judgment of the trial court in the Great Oaks case, leaving intact the substantive findings from its prior opinion. On August 27, 2015, Great Oaks again filed its petition for rehearing. On September 10, 2015, the Court of Appeal, without requiring any reply by the District granted Great Oaks petition for rehearing. On December 8, 2015, the Court of Appeal again reversed in full the judgment of the trial court in the Great Oaks case. Based on the recent court decisions, the total liability of \$7.4 million previously recognized was reversed in fiscal year 2017.

Great Oaks has filed refund actions for subsequent years of annual groundwater charges, all of which are currently stayed (Santa Clara Superior Court Case Nos. 107-CV-087884; 108-CV-119465; 108-CV-123064; 109-CV-146018; 110-CV-178947; 111-CV-205462; 112-CV-228340; 113-CV-249349; 115-CV-281385; 16-CV-292097; 17-CV-308140; and 18-CV-327641).

On November 8, 2018, the Sixth District Court of Appeal issued its latest opinion in the Great Oaks versus District case, reaffirming that Great Oaks failed to prove that the District's 2005-06 groundwater charges were legally flawed. Regardless of this recent Court decision, Great Oaks may attempt to retry its 2005 case based on new principles.

### Shatto Corporation, Mike Rawitser Golf Shop and Santa Teresa Golf Club, et al v. Santa Clara Valley Water District

Similar to the Great Oaks Case, Shatto Corporation, Mike Rawitser Golf Shop and Santa Teresa Golf Club have filed a refund action, Santa Clara Superior Court under Case No. 111-CV-195879. The action is currently stayed.

Other water retailers including San Jose Water Company, the cities of Morgan Hill, Gilroy and Santa Clara and the Los Altos Golf and Country Club, and Stanford University dispute the District's groundwater charges and have subsequently entered into tolling agreements with the District pending the final decision in the Great Oaks Case.

The District filed its petition for review in the California Supreme Court on January 19, 2016, and on March 23, 2016 review was granted, however it was placed on hold pending resolution of the City of Buenaventura v. United Water Conservation District (UWCD) case which argued in September of 2017. On

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

December 5, 2017, the Supreme Court released a decision in the UWCD case, and unanimously decided that Proposition 210 does not apply to UWCD's groundwater charges. However, the Supreme Court did determine that Proposition 26 applied to ground water charges; thus the District's groundwater charges are also likely subject to Proposition 26's requirements. Meanwhile, the District awaits further direction from the Supreme Court in light of its decision in the UWCD case. The District cannot predict the nature or extent of proceedings of how the Great Oaks case will be handled by the Supreme Court.

The District is currently reviewing its estimates of potential liability with respect to this case as well as other cases filed by Great Oaks and other plaintiffs or potential claimants which have either been stayed or are subject to tolling agreements.

#### (b) Grants and Subventions

The District has received federal and state grants for specific purposes that are subject to review and audit. Although such audits could result in expenditure disallowances under grant terms, any required reimbursements are not expected to be material.

### (c) Central Valley Project

On June 7, 1977, the District entered into a contract with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation for water service from the San Felipe Division of the Federal Central Valley Project (CVP). The CVP water service provides for both agricultural operation and maintenance (O&M) and municipal and industrial (M&I) water deliveries to the District up to a total maximum annual entitlement of 152,500 acre-feet per year. The contract specified initial water rates for O&M and M&I water service and provided for periodic adjustments for the respective water rates in accordance with prevailing CVP water rate policies commencing in the year 1993 for the inbasin M&I rate component; 1996 for the agricultural O&M rate component; 2001 for the full agricultural water rate; and 2008 for the out-of-basin M&I rate component. The methodology of CVP water rate setting has historically recovered current year operating costs and the applicable construction costs over 50 years.

The District's initial CVP water rates were determined based on a November 1974 CVP water rate policy and estimated construction costs of the San Felipe Division. The actual construction costs of the San Felipe Division were significantly higher than the estimates used in the initial rate calculation, and changes in the Federal Reclamation Law during the 1980's have led to the development of new CVP water rate policies. These policies, coupled with the terms of the original contract, resulted in the District facing significant increases for repayment of the San Felipe Division.

In compliance with the Central Valley Improvement Act (CVPIA), the District entered into negotiations, along with all other CVP contractors, with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation for contract renewal. Because of concerns related to litigation challenging the renewal process, the District entered into an amended contract. The amendment maintained the basic

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

provisions of the original contract, implemented provisions of CVPIA, and allowed the establishment of a fixed repayment for the San Felipe Division facilities.

#### (d) Perchlorate

In 2003, perchlorate released from the Olin Corporation facility at Tennant Avenue in Morgan Hill was discovered in groundwater in much of the Llagas Subbasin in South County, impacting many water supply wells. The investigation and clean-up of the contamination are under the jurisdiction of the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. Due to ongoing remediation by Olin and managed recharge by the District, both the plume size and number of wells impacted have been reduced. As of June 2018, perchlorate is present above the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) in fewer than 10 domestic water supply wells. The perchlorate plume exceeding the MCL extends south from the Tennant Avenue site for about 3 miles. Olin's remedial efforts have included on-site soil removal and groundwater treatment as well as off-site plume remediation.

### (e) President's Day Flood Event

Following a series of storms, a flood event occurred on the Coyote Creek in San Jose, California on or about February 21, 2017. The Coyote Creek is approximately 42 miles long and is the longest creek in the County. In the southern portion of the County, the District owns and maintains the Leroy Anderson Dam and Reservoir along the Coyote Creek near Morgan Hill, California. The Anderson Dam is upstream from the City of San Jose. After the reservoir reached capacity, water began going over the Anderson Dam spillway on February 18, 2017. The spillover volume peaked on the morning of February 21, 2017, increasing flows on Coyote Creek. Beginning on or about February 21, 2017, certain residential and non-residential areas of San Jose along Coyote Creek experienced flooding due to rising water levels in the creek. Thousands of residents were temporarily evacuated, and numerous properties experienced flood damage. Such flood water has now receded.

The District has received 420 claims with respect to the flooding along Coyote Creek. The aggregate stated value of these claims is approximately \$3,000,000. Eighteen lawsuits alleging damage from the Coyote Creek flood event have been filed against the District in Santa Clara County Superior Court. The District is evaluating all claims and lawsuits and cannot predict the outcomes or financial impacts of these or any future claims and lawsuits with respect to the Coyote flood event. The District intends to vigorously defend any actions brought against it with respect to flood-related property damage caused by the flooding along Coyote Creek.

This page intentionally left blank

# Required Supplementary Information

Schedule of Changes In Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios as of June 30, 2018 Last 10 Years\*

	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total pension liability				
Service cost	\$ 14,351,245	\$ 13,735,953	\$ 13,764,288	\$ 15,752,291
Interest on total pension liability	46,261,670	48,842,236	51,160,517	53,109,673
Differences between expected				
and actual experience	=	(184,479)	(3,173,782)	(4,716,605)
Changes in assumptions	-	(12,079,891)	-	44,289,025
Benefit payments, including refunds				
of employee contributions	(25,004,849)	(27,800,233)	(30,428,304)	(32,498,706)
Net change in pension liability	35,608,066	22,513,586	31,322,719	75,935,678
Total pension liability, beginning	622,149,061	657,757,127	680,270,713	711,593,432
Total pension liability, ending (a)	\$657,757,127	\$ 680,270,713	\$711,593,432	\$787,529,110
Plan fiduciary net position				
Contributions - employer	\$ 13,804,460	\$ 15,157,939	\$ 17,044,538	\$ 19,055,019
Contributions - employee	9,036,853	6,242,234	6,567,551	6,624,798
Net investment income	75,675,314	11,478,076	2,752,954	56,514,065
Benefits payment	(25,004,849)	(27,800,233)	(30,428,304)	(32,498,706)
Net plan to plan resource movement	=	-	370	370
Administrative expense		(566,550)	(312,496)	(750,585)
Net change in fiduciary net position	73,511,778	4,511,466	(4,375,387)	48,944,961
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning	434,729,646	508,241,424	512,752,890	508,377,503
Plan fiduciary net position, ending (b)	\$ 508,241,424	\$512,752,890	\$ 508,377,503	\$ 557,322,464
Net pension liability, ending (a - b)	\$ 149,515,703	\$ 167,517,823	\$ 203,215,929	\$ 230,206,646
		, ,		
Disco fishesis and a selection of the second	_			
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage		75.070/	74 440/	70 770/
of total pension liability	77.27%	75.37%	71.44%	70.77%
Covered payroll	\$ 77,885,844	\$ 78,009,731	\$ 79,663,661	\$ 84,110,908
Net pension liability as a percentage	101.070/	04.4.7.40/	055.000/	070.000/
of covered payroll	191.97%	214.74%	255.09%	273.69%
Discount rate	7.50%	7.65%	7.65%	7.15%

<sup>\*</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of GASB 68 implementation, therefore only 4 years are shown.

Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions June 30, 2018\*

Actuarially determined contribution Contributions in relation to the	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	2018
	\$13,948,105	\$ 16,532,182	\$ 18,568,910	\$ 19,746,343
actuarially determined contribution Contribution Deficiency	(13,948,105) \$ -	(16,532,182)	(18,568,910)	(19,746,343)
Covered payroll <sup>(1)</sup> Contribution as a percentage of covered payroll	\$78,009,731	\$ 79,663,661	\$ 84,110,908	\$ 86,634,235
	17.88%	20.75%	22.08%	22.79%

The covered payroll for the current year is from the actuarial valuation study using a prior year measurment date, adjusted to the current year using a 3% increase.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> The covered payroll noted on this page is different from the covered payroll presented on the previous page as the previous page is payroll related to the net pension liability in the applicable measurement period.

<sup>\*</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of GASB 68 implementation, therefore only 4 years are shown.

Schedule of Changes In Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios as of June 30, 2018 Last 10 Years\*

	2018
Total OPEB liability	
Service cost	\$ 2,913,500
Interest on total OPEB liability	12,017,600
Benefit payments	(8,471,200)
Net change in OPEB liability	6,459,900
Total OPEB liability, beginning	167,805,300
Total OPEB liability, ending (a)	\$174,265,200
Plan fiduciary net position	
Contributions	\$ 11,471,200
Benefits payment	(8,471,200)
Net investment income	6,259,202
Investment return - difference between expected	, ,
and actual experience	2,924,898
Administrative expense	(44,900)
Net change in fiduciary net position	12,139,200
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning	84,500,500
Plan fiduciary net position, ending (b)	\$ 96,639,700
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Net OPEB liability, ending (a - b)	\$ 77,625,500
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage	
of total OPEB liability	55.46%
Covered payroll	\$ 79,663,700
Net OPEB liability as a percentage	+ . 0,000,700
of covered payroll	97.44%
Discount rate	7.28%
Discount rate	7.2076

<sup>\*</sup> Fiscal year 2018 was the first year of GASB 75 implementation, therefore only 1 year is shown.


Schedule of Employer Other Post Employment Benefit Contributions June 30, 2018\*

	<u>2018</u>
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 9,546,137
Contributions in relation to the	
actuarially determined contribution	(12,546,137)
Contribution Deficiency / (Excess)	\$ (3,000,000)
Covered payroll <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 82,053,611
Contribution as a percentage of covered payroll	15.29%

The covered payroll for the current year is from the actuarial valuation study using a prior year measurement date, adjusted to the current year using a 3% increase.

<sup>(1)</sup> The covered payroll noted on this page is different from the covered payroll presented on the previous page as the previous page is payroll related to the net OPEB liability in the applicable measurement period.

<sup>\*</sup> Fiscal year 2018 was the first year of GASB 75 implementation, therefore only 1 year is shown.



This page intentionally left blank





## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors Santa Clara Valley Water District San Jose, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate fund information of Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2018.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Palo Alto, California December 21, 2018

Varinet, Trine, Day & Co. LLP

Schedule of Revenues and Expenses (Budgetary Basis) For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	North County South C		th County	Total	
Operating Revenues:					
Ground Water Charges	\$	84,747	\$	12,736	\$ 97,483
Treated Water Charges		132,477		-	132,477
Surface and recycled water charges		401		640	1,041
Operating Grants		4,325		71	4,396
Other		4,217		-	4,217
Total Operating revenues		226,167		13,447	239,614
Operating Expenses					
Sources of Supply		86,215		9,562	95,777
Water Treatment		36,719		257	36,976
Transmission and distribution:					
Raw Water		10,735		3,736	14,471
Treated Water		1,466		-	1,466
Administration and general		21,537		3,841	25,378
Capital Cost Recovery		(4,387)		4,387	-
Total Operating Expenses		152,285		21,783	174,068
Operating income (loss)		73,882		(8,336)	65,546
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):					
Property Taxes		34,085		3,332	37,417
Investment Income		1,267		-	1,267
Rental Income		81		34	115
Other		1,882		214	2,096
Interest and fiscal agent fees		(16,050)		-	(16,050)
Open Space Credit Transfer		(8,075)		8,075	-
Interest earned credit		(121)		121	-
Net Operating revenues		13,069		11,776	24,845
Change in Net Position	\$	86,951	\$	3,440	\$ 90,391

### Reconciliation to Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position:

Income (Loss)	\$ 90,391
Depreciation and amortization expenses not budgeted	(29,443)
Capital contributions	4,350
Interfund transfers	(8,225)
Reconcile GAAP to budgetary basis for operating expenses	(13,365)
Change in net position per Statement of Revenues, Expenses,	
and Change in Net Position	\$ 43,708

This page intentionally left blank